

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/627,714 07/28/2003		Reuven Unger	P23589	7104		
7055	7590 06/13/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C.			LEUNG, RI	LEUNG, RICHARD L		
RESTON, V	ND CLARKE PLACE 'A 20191		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,			3744			
		,	DATE MAILED: 06/13/200	DATE MAILED: 06/13/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/627,714	UNGER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Richard L. Leung	3744	

		Thomata E. Coung	1 ** * * *	
The MAILING DATE of	this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with th	ne correspondence add	dress
THE REPLY FILED 16 May 2005 F	AILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOI	R ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a finathis application, applicant muplaces the application in cond (3) a Request for Continued Efollowing time periods: 	al rejection, but prior to or or st timely file one of the follo ition for allowance; (2) a No xamination (RCE) in compl	n the same day as filing a Notic wing replies: (1) an amendmen otice of Appeal (with appeal fee liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The	ce of Appeal. To avoid a it, affidavit, or other evic) in compliance with 37	lence, which CFR 41.31; or
	e: (1) the mailing date of this Advi ory period for reply expire later that	sory Action, or (2) the date set forth i an SIX MONTHS from the mailing da	te of the final rejection.	
MONTHS OF THE FINAL RE	JECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)			
Extensions of time may be obtained under been filed is the date for purposes of dete CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the ex above, if checked. Any reply received by the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CHOTICE OF APPEAL	rmining the period of extension a piration date of the shortened sta he Office later than three months	nd the corresponding amount of the f tutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate extens the final Office action; or (2	on fee under 37) as set forth in (b)
 The Notice of Appeal was file of filing the Notice of Appeal (Since a Notice of Appeal has 	37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ex	oliance with 37 CFR 41.37 mus xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37 pe filed within the time period se	'(e)), to avoid dismissal	of the appeal.
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>				h
(b) They raise the issue of i	hat would require further connew matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see w);	NOTE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to appeal; and/or	place the application in bet	ter form for appeal by material	ly reducing or simplifyin	g the issues for
(d)☐ They present additional	-	corresponding number of finally	y rejected claims.	
	ion Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1			
4. The amendments are not in o	•		n-Compliant Amendmer	t (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcon			esta timaly filad amands	mont canceling
 Newly proposed or amended the non-allowable claim(s). 	· · ——			
 For purposes of appeal, the p how the new or amended clain The status of the claim(s) is (ms would be rejected is pro] will be entered and ar	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-19.				
Claim(s) withdrawn from cons				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
 The affidavit or other evidence because applicant failed to pr and was not earlier presented 	ovide a showing of good an	ut before or on the date of filing d sufficient reasons why the aff	a Notice of Appeal Will fidavit or other evidence	not be entered is necessary
showing a good and sufficient	or other evidence failed to o	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under a _l y and was not earlier presented	ppeal and/or appellant f i. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).
10. The affidavit or other eviden REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATI		n of the status of the claims aft	ter entry is below or atta	ched.
11. The request for reconsiderat		t does NOT place the application	on in condition for allow	ance because:
12. Note the attached Information 13. Other:	n Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Pap	per No(s)	

Application No.

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment to the claims raise new issues because of the added limitation to independent claim 1 reciting, "wherein the base blocking protrusion has a flat upper surface and a smooth end surface." Applicants assert that such an amendment should not raise new issues because Applicants believe the amendment merely incorporates the material of dependent claim 14 into the independent claims. The Examiner respectfully points out that claim 14, which only depends from claim 7, recites, "wherein the groove blocking protrusion has a flat upper surface and a smooth end surface." Claim 14 did not concern the "base blocking protrusion" of claim 1, and furthermore, the limitations of claim 14 where only previously presented in combination with claim 7 and not in combination with any of the other independent claims. Accordingly the proposed amendment will not be entered because they raise issues that require further consideration and/or search.

CHERYL TYLER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER